EA 023 534 ED 339 133

Bastian, Lisa D.; Taylor, Bruce N. AUTHOR

School Crime: A National Crime Victimization Survey TITLE

Report.

Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. Bureau of INSTITUTION

Justice Statistics.

NCJ-131645 REPORT NO Sep 91 PUB DATE

28p. NOTE

AVAILABLE FROM Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/MCJRS, U.S.

Department of Justice, Box 6000, Rockville, MD

20850.

Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical PUB TYPE

Data (110) -- Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

NFO1/PCO2 Plus Postage. EDRS PRICE

*Crime; Juvenile Gangs; National Surveys; *Profiles; DESCRIPTORS

School Safety; *School Statistics; Secondary Education; *Secondary School Students; *Student Attitudes; Student Characteristics; Substance Abuse;

Tables (Data); *Victims of Crime

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes students' responses regarding crime at school collected by the National Crime Victimization Survey in a special supplement during the first half of 1989. The data represent an estimated 21.6 million students, ages 12 to 19. The analysis accounts for crime experienced by different groups--males and females; blacks and whites; Hispanics and non-Hispanics; central city, suburban, and rural residents. It also accounts for selected characteristics of schools and students; public and private, grade levels, and security measures. This study asked students for their perceptions regarding such crime issues as the following: How difficult were drugs or alcohol to obtain at school? How prevalent were street gangs in school? How fearful were students of being attacked at school? Information was also gathered on the school environment, victimization, and the efforts to avoid becoming a victim. The data are presented in text and 29 tables. Appended to the report is a page explaining the methodology of the study, the questionnaire, and a list of Bureau of Justice Statistics reports with an order form. (MLF)

Reproductions supplied by EDES are the best that can be made

from the original document. U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics



School Crime

A National Crime Victimization Survey Report

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

(* Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.)

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document, do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

Messeud October 1991:

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (for al 30* 251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850

BJS maintains the following mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports (new)
- Drugs and crime data (new)
- Justice spending & employment
- White collar crime
- National Crime Survey (annual)
- Corrections (annual)
- Courts (annual)
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy
- Federal Statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports
- approximately twice a month. Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free, use NCJ number to order Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of sugle reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free 11-40 littles \$10, more than 40, \$20, libraries call for special rates

Public use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN) PO Box 1248 Ann Arbor MI 48106 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

National Crime Victimization Survey

The Nation's two crime measures Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705 4360

Criminal victimication in the U.S. 1973-88 trends, NGJ 129392, 791 1989 (final): NGJ 129394, 645 1988 (final): NGJ 124924, 4450

BUS special reports

Handgun crime victims. NOJ 12/659 176 Black victims, NCJ 120'40' 456 Hispanic victims, NOJ 120507 190

The redesigned National Crime Survey. Selected new date, NCJ 114750 1200 Motor vehicle theft, NCJ 109576 3 Rd Elderly victims, NCJ 107676. 1137 Violent crime trends, NCJ 107217, 1187 Robbery victims, NO.1 194639 4-87 Violent crime by strangers and non strangers, NCJ 103702 137

Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ 102037, 836

Crime prevention measures. NO. 3 3,433 in

The use of weapons in committing crimes.

Reporting crimes to the police, NC, 1942, 12 85

The economic cost of clime to victims NCJ-93450 4 n4

BUS buttering

Criminal victimization 1990, NO 3 18 2 st 119411

Crime and the Nation's households, 1990. NCJ 130,02 630

The crime of rape, NC 378-777 (487 Household burglary, NC 378-77) Measuring crime, NC 375-710 (285

BJS ferfingual reports

New directions for the NCS NEW 1997

Series crimes: Report of a held test, NCJ 104615, 4.61

School crime, NCJ 14645 491 Toenage victims of crime, New 1981/1991 Female victims of violent crime. NCJ 127162 1601

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ 111467, 3/68

The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ 11103 KV88 Crime and older Americans information

package, NCJ 104569 5/87, \$10 Victimization and fear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ 93872, 1/85, \$9.15

e National Crime Survey: Working papers, Current and historical perspectives, vol. 1, NCJ 75374 N/82

Methodology studies, vol. II. NCJ-90307, 12.84

Corrections

RIS bulletins and some of manuts

Capital punishment 1990, NCJ 131648 11311 Prisorters in 1990, NCJ 129198 5491 Women in prison, NCJ 127991 4:91

Violent State prison immates and their victims, NCJ 124133 7/90 Prison rule violators, NCJ 128344 12 89

Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983. NCJ 116261 489

Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1988, NCJ 111940, 7/86

Time served in prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ 108544 1287

Profile of State pisson immates, 1986, NCJ 10925 1488

Imprisonment in four countries. NCJ 10.067, 287

Population density in State prisons. NCJ 103204 1286

State and Federal prisoners, 1925-65, NCJ 102494 1186

Prison admissions and releases, 1983. NUL 100582 336

The prevalence of imprisonment, NCJ-90657 785

Presoners at midyear 1991 greens to trialler 163479

Correctional populations in the United States. 1989, NCJ 130445-10191 1988, NCJ 174280-391

Race of prisoners admitted to State and Federal institutions, 1928-86, NCJ 125618 GM1 National corrections reporting program, 1985, NCJ 123522, 12/90

Historical statistics on prisoners in State and Federal institutions, yearend 1975.86, NEW 11109H EVEN

1984 census of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ 10585, 787

Census of jads and survey of jad inmates Bus building and special regulats

Drugs and jail Immates, NCJ 1308.6 6'9' Jaif Immates, 1990, NCJ 1297% 6:91 Profile of jail immates, 1989, NCJ 124217

Jail Inmates, 1989, NIU 12,554, 1990 Population density in local jails, 1988 NGJ 122299 390

Consus of local jails, 1988 (BJS fulletin) NGJ 121101 290

Jail Immates, 1987, NCJ 114319, 12 88 Drunk driving, NCJ 169945, 2188 Jail Inmates, 1988, NCJ 107123, 108.

Census of local jalls 1988: Summary and methodology, vol. 1, NCJ 127992, 391

Data for individual jatis in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, role, II-V. NCJ 130759 130762, 991

Census of tocal jails, 1983: Data for individual jalls, Northest, Midwest, South West, vols. 14V, NCJ 112796 9 11 85

Selected lindings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V. NCJ 112796, 11 NB

Parole and probation

15.5 Industria

Probation and parole 1989, NO 3 1 (Secre 11 th) 1988 NO 3 1 1987(5 11 th)

5 зресла туть

Recidivism of young parolens, No. 1909.11.

Children in custody

Census of public and private juvenile determion, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ 11400A 6 80 Survey of youth in custody, 1987 न्यमंत्र को अनुभवतः NCच १९५७६५ स्रह्स

Law enforcement management

BJS bulletins and special reports

Police departments in large cities, 1987, NCJ 119220, 889

Profile of state and tocal law entorcament agencies, NCJ-113949, 3-89

Expenditure and employment

BJS bulletins

Justice expenditure and employment: 1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90

Anti-drug abuse formula grants: Justice variable pass-through data, 1988 (BJS technical report), NCJ 120070, 3/90

Justice expenditure and employment: 1988 (full report), NCJ 129619, 8/91 1965 (full report) NCJ 106356 8869 Extracts, 1984, 1985, 1988, NCJ 1241,0 8/91

Courts

BJS Didhelitis

Pretrial release of teleny defendants, 1988. NGJ 127202, 2/91

Felony sentences in State courts, 1988, NCJ 126973, 12690

Criminal defense for the poor, 1985.

NCJ-112819, 988 State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ 106273, 887

The growth of appeals, 1973-83 trends, NCJ 96381, 2665

Case filings in State courts 1983, NCJ 95111 1094

ISIS special reports

Felony case processing in State courts, 1686, NOJ 121753, 250

Felony case processing time, NCJ-101985

Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions. NCJ 97681 646

Felons sentenced to probation in State courts, 1988, NCJ 124944 11/90 Felony defendants in large urban counties.

1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90 Profile of felons convicted in State courts, 1986, NCJ 120021 1/90

Sentencing outcomes in 28 felony courts. NCJ 105743, 8/87

The prosecution of felony arrests 1987, NGJ 124140, 9/90

Felony laws of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 1965, NCJ 105066, 2/88 \$14.60 State court model statistical dictionary Supplement NCJ 98326 485 1st edition, NCJ-62320 9/80

Privacy and security

Compendium of State privacy and security legislation

1989 overview, NCJ 121157 5490 1987 overview, NCJ 111097, 9788 1989 full report (1 500 pages microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145 NCJ 121158, 9/90

Criminal justice information policy Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ 12894-7

Statutes requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ-129896-6:91 Survey of criminal history information

systems, NCJ 125620, 3/91 Original records of entry, NGJ 125626 12410

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future of information management. NGJ 121697 5490

Juvenile and adult records. One system, one record?, NCJ 114947, 1991 Open vs. confidential records,

NCJ 113560 198 Strategies for improving data quality. NCJ 115339, 589

Public access to criminal history record information, NCJ-111458-1186

Juvenile records and recordkeeping systems, NCJ 112815 11 88 Automated fingerprint identification

systems. Technology and policy issues. NC 1 164342 4/87 Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ 19469

Drugs & crime data

Drugs and crime facts, 1990, NCJ-128662, 8/91 State drug resources: A national directory, NCJ 122582, 550

oderal drug data for national policy, NGJ 122715 4/90

Drugs and crime facts, 1989, NCJ 121022

Computer crime

RUS special reports

Electronic fund transfer fraud, NCJ 96666, 3%5 and crime, NCJ 92650-2784

Electronic fund trensfer systems fraud. NCJ 100461 4/86

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81 \$1150

Federal justice statistics

Compendium of Federal justice statistics: 1988, NCJ 130474 1041

1986, NCJ 125617 1791 1985, NCJ-125560, 8/90

ederal criminal case processing, 1980-89. with preliminary data for 1990, NCJ 130526.

The Federal civil justice system (B.IS traffeton), NCJ 100789 8:87

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports. Immigration offenses, NCJ 174546, 8/90 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87. NCJ-118311 7/89

Drug law violators, 1980-86, NCJ 111763.

Pretrial release and detention. The Bail Reform Act of 1984, NCJ 10F-29 2488 White-collar crime, NCJ-1068, o. 937

General

BJS bulletins and special reports

BJS telephone contacts, '91, NCJ 130133

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ 129861 6/91 Tracking offenders, 1987, NCJ 125315 10/90 Criminal cases in five States, 1983-86, NC-1118798 989

International crime rates, NCJ 110776, 5/88 BJS national update:

Oct. 91, NCJ 131778 10/91 July 191, NCJ 129863 7/91

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990, NCJ 130580, 9/91

BJS program application kit, fiscal 1991, NCJ 128413 3/91

Violent crime in the United States, NGJ 127855 3491 Attorney General's program for improving the

identifying fetons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ 128131, 3/91 BJS data report, 1989, NCJ-121514 1/91 Publications of BJS, 1985-89: Microfiche Hisrary, PRO30014-5/90-5/90

Nation's criminal history records and

Bibliography, TB0030013, 5/90 \$17 (8) Publications of BJS, 1971-84: Microfiche library, PR030012 1086, \$203 Bibliography, T8030012 1086, \$17.50

1990 directory of automated criminal justice information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections; 2, Courts; & Law enforcement; 4. Probation and parole; 5. Presecution; NO.1 122226-30

5.440 BJS annual report, fiscal 1988, NCJ 115749

Report to the Nation on crime and justice: Second edition, NCJ 105506 6/88 Tachnical appendix, NCJ 11까기는 당당하

Criminal justice microcomputer guide and software catalog, NCJ 112176, 888 National survey of crime severity, NCJ 96017 10/65

See order form on last page



School Crime A National Crime Victimization Survey Report

By Lisa D. Bastian and Bruce M. Taylor, Ph.D. BJS Statisticians

September 1991, NCJ-131645

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D. Director

Acknowledgments. This report was written by Lisa D. Bastian and Bruce M. Taylor of the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Thomas Hester edited the report. Lawrence A. Greenfeld provided initial editorial and statistical guidance. Marilyn Marbrook administered its publication, assisted by Jayne Pugh, Tina Dorsey, and Yvonne Boston. BJS reports are produced under the direction of Michael W. Agopian, Deputy Director for Data Analysis.

The Assistant Attorney General is responsible for matters of administration and management with respect to the Office of Justice Program agencies: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Bureau of Justice Assistance, National Institute of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and Office for Victims of Crime. The Assistant Attorney General further establishes policies and priorities consistent with the statutory purposes of the OJP agencies and the priorities of the Department of Justice.

Data utilized in this report are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan, 1-800-999-0950. The data set is archived as the NCVS School Crime Supplement (ICPSR 9394).

Foreword

The prevalence of crime in our Nation's schools concerns us all. In addition to the costs to the victims and their families, crimes at school disrupt education and may have longer lasting effects on society than crime committed elsewhere. Any consideration of a response to crime at school must begin with an accurate, national accounting of the extent of such crime and a description of its likely victims.

This report summarizes the responses collected by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) in a special supplement during the first half of 1989. The data represent an estimated 21.6 million students, ages 12 to 19. As do other NCVS reports, this analysis accounts for crime experienced by different groups —males and females; blacks and whites; Hispanics and non-Hispanics; central city, suburban, and rural residents. It also accounts for selected characteristics of schools and students; public and private, grade levels, and security measures.

This study asked students for their perceptions regarding such crime issues as the following: How difficult were drugs or alcohol to obtain at school? How prevalent were street gangs in school? How fearful were students of being attacked at school?

The questionnaire used for the supplement, reprinted on pages 15 to 18, also gathered information on the school environment, victimization, and the efforts to avoid becoming a victim.

This report provides an excellent overview of crime concerns that the students reported. We encourage other researchers to explore the data further. The computerized files are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan.

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D. Director

Table of contents

Introduction	1	Tables		17. Students fearing an attack or avoiding areas inside or outside of school, by	
Victimization	1	Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by personal		gang presence at school	B
Profile of student characteristics	2		1	 Students fearing attack, by location and whether victimized by violent crime 	
Profile of school characteristics	3	Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by selected		Carried Branch a Mariana	9
Drugs and alcohol in school	3	school characteristics	2	 Students avoiding places at schoo out of fear, by victimization during 	
-		3. Availability of drugs or alcohol	_	previous 6 months	9
Drugs	3	at school, by type of drug	3	20. Students avoiding places inside or	r
Drug prevention	5	Availability of drugs, by selected student characteristics	4	_ ·	9
Drug education classes	6			21. Students avoiding places at school	
Did buddayin debaas	•	5. Availability of drugs, by selected		out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by selected student characteristics	
Alcohol	6	school characteristics	4	Paincing affordit cina technismics	•
_	_	8. Victimization of students, by avail-		22. Students avoiding places out of fe	e
Gangs	8	ability of alcohol or drugs at school	5	or ever fearing an attack, by location, race, and Hispanic origin	•
Fear of crime at school	9	7. Students ever fearing an attack,		race, and moperate origin	•
		by availability of drugs at school	5	23. Students avoiding places at school	
Objects for self-protection	12			out of feat, or ever feating on attack, be school characteristics	٠.
	45	Drug prevention measures, by availability of drugs at school	5	school characteristics	1
School security measures	12	as primarily on on with our part days		24. Students ever fearing crime or ave	ok
Methodology	14	Types of drug prevention measure taken at schools	5 5	ing areas outside school, by mode of transportation to and from school 1	2
Questionnaire	15	 Attendance at drug education cla during the previous 6 months, by sele student and school characteristics 		25. Students reporting that they had taken something to school to protect themselves	2
		11. Availability of alcohol at school,		26. Security measures taken at school	ЭĻ
		by selected student characteristics	7	by selected student characteristics 1	
		12. Availability of alcohol, by selected	d	27. Victimization of students at school	ıl,
		school characteristics	7	by school security measures 1	13
		13. Students ever fearing an attack,		28. Students ever fearing attack	
		by availability of alcohol at school	7	at school, by school security	13
		14. Students reporting gangs at scho	loc		
		and attacks on teachers	8	29. Disciplinary actions that students reported, by infractions	13
		15. Victimization of students, by gan	~ _		
		presence at school	8		
		16. Students reporting gang present	29		
		at school, by selected student	•		
		characteristics	8		

introduction

An estimated 9% of students, ages 12 to 19, were crime victims in or around their school over a 6-month period: 2% reported experiencing one or more violent crimes and 7% reported at least one property crime. Violent crime is largely composed of simple assaults. These orimes involve attacks without weapons

and may result in minor injury, such as cuts or bruises. Violent crimes can also include aggravated assaults, robberies, and rapes.

Fifteen percent of the students said their school had gangs, and 16% claimed that a student had attacked or threatened a teacher at their school.

Among public school students 9% reported drugs as impossible to obtain at school; among private school students, 36% reported drugs to be impossible to obtain at school.

These findings are based on a nationally representative sample survey of more than 10,000 youth who were interviewed from January through June of 1989 and who attended school at any time during the 6 months before the interview. The School Crime Supplement (SCS) was conducted as an enhancement of the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), an ongoing household survey that gathers information on the victimization of household members age 12 or older. The survey asks only about crimes that have occurred during the 6 months before the interview.

Other findings from the SCS include the following:

Victimization

- · Nine percent of both male and female students had experienced a victimization at school (table 1).
- Students of different races experienced shout the same amount of violent or property victimization in and around their schools. However, Hispanic students were less likely than non-Hispanics to have sus sined a property crime.
- For crimes at school, the students older than age 17 were generally less likely to be victims than were younger students.

l	Table 1. Stude	ents report	ing at least one	victimization	at	achoel.
ı	ph because a	ind family c	heractoristics			

	Total	Percent	of students	<u>t</u>
Student	number	reporting	vicimizatio	looriae ia re
characteristic	etnebute to	Total	Violent	Property
Sex		•		
Malo				
Formatio	11,166,316	9%	2%	7%
e-deliging	10,387,776	9	2	8
Filmos				
White	17,308,626	9%	2%	7%
Black	3,449,488	8	2	7
Other	797,978	10	2.	ś
Hispanio origin				
Hispanic	2,026,968	704	na.	Provi
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	7%	3%	5%
Not ascertaned	74,428	9	2	8
and the same of th	74/460	3.	••	3.
Age				
12	3,220,691	9%	2%	7%
13	3,318,714	10	2	8
14	3,264,574	11	2	9
15	3,214,109	9	3	7
16	3,275,002	9	2	7
17	3,273,628	18	1	7
16	1,755,825	5	4.	4
19	231 348	2*	-	2.
Number of times family				
moved in last 5 years				
Mone	18,905,538	8%	2%	74
Once	845,345	9 9	2% 2*	7%
Twice	610,312	13	3.	7
3 or more	1,141,555	15	-	11
Not ascartained	51,343	19 5'	6 5*	3
	U1,ara	Ð.	5.	
Family Income				
Loss than \$7,500	2,041,418	8%	2%	5%
\$7,500 - \$9,999	791,086	4	4.	3
\$10,000 - \$14,999	1,823,150	9	3	7
\$15,000 - \$24,999	3,772,445	8	•	8
\$25,000 - \$29,999	1,845,313	8	2	7
\$30,000 - \$49,999	5,798,448	10	2	B
\$50,000 and over	3,498,382	11	2	9
Not escertained	1,983,849	7	3	5
Place of residence				
Control city	5,816,321	10%	2%	8%
Suburbe	10,089,207	9	2	7

^{*}Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology. -Loss than 0.5%.

SCS responses were collected only from those respondents attending a primary or secondary school which advanced them toward a high school diploma.

 Students living in families that had moved three or more times in the preceding 5 years were nearly twice as likely to have experienced a criminal victimization as students who had moved victimization than students who had moved less often.

- no more than once. The frequent movers were 3 times more likely to suffer a violent
- Victimization by violent crime at school had no consistent relation to income levels of the victims' families. For property crime, however, students in families with annual incomes of \$50,000 or more were more likely to be victimized than were students whose families earned less than \$10,000 a year.
- Public school students (9%) were more likely to be crime victims than private school students (7%) (table 2).
- High school seniors were the least likely studente to be crime victims. Ninth grade students were more likely to be crime victims than were students in all higher grades.

ichosi	Total number	Percent reporting	at school		
haractoristic	afstudents	Total	Violent	Property	
ype of sohool					
Public	19,284,643	9%	2%	8%	
Private	1,873,077	7	1*	8	
Motescortained	418,372	6	3,	4*	
trade in school					
Sth	1,817,511	10%	3%	8%	
761	3,170,126	9	2	8	
8th	3,258,506	9	2	8	
80)	3,390,701	51	3	9	
10th	3,082,441	9	2	7	
11th	3,223,624	8	2	7	
120	3,171,819	8 8 5	1	5	
Other	439,364	5	3.	3.	

Matuma	Students re in SCS sur	epresented	Student	Students represented in SCS survey		
Student	Number Percent		characteristic	Number	Percent.	
characteristic	Manda	Laurent.	24 40 20 10 10 10 10			
Ser_			Number of times			
Malo	11,166,316	52%	family moved			
Formate	10,387,778	48	in last 5 years			
	•		None	18,905,538	68%	
Rane			Once	845,345	4	
White	17,308,626	80%	Twice	610,912	3	
Stock	3,449,488	16	Three or more	1,141,555	5	
Other	797,978	4	Not ascertained	51,343	**	
Hispanis origin			Family Income			
Hispanic	2,026,968	9%	Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	9%	
Non-Hispanic	19,452,897	80	\$7,500-\$8,999	791,088	4	
Not escertained	74,428	**	\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	8	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	V /3		\$15,000-\$24,999	3,772,445	18	
Ace			\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	9	
12	3,220,891	15%	\$30,000-\$48,999	5,798,448	27	
13	3.318.714	15	\$50,000 and over	3,498,382	15	
14	3,264,574	15	Not appertained	1,983,849	9	
15	3,214,109	15	·			
16	3,275,002	15	Place of residence			
17	3,273,628	15	Contral city	5,818,321	27%	
18	1.755.825	8	Suburbs	10,089,207	47	
19	231,348	1	Nonmetropolitan area	5,648,564	26	
			Number of students	21,554,092		

- An estimated 21.6 million youth ages 12 to 19 were enrolled in the Nation's public and private schools between January and June, 1989. About 52% of these students were male, and 48% were female. Approximately 80% were white; 18%, black; and 4%, from other racial groups. ("Other race" includes Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans.) About 9% of students indicated they were Hispanic.
- Households of 88% of students had not moved for at least 5 years before the interview.
- Approximately 13% of students were in families that earned less than \$10,000 annually, and 16% were in families earning at least \$50,000.
- About 27% of students lived in central cities, 47% in suburbs, and 26% in nonmetropolitan areas. These residential areas are based on Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) classifications. Suburbs are inside an MSA, but not in a central area, and nonmetropolitan areas are all outside MSA's.

Table 2	Avellability	of drugs	Oľ	terfoots	at	school
ph pho	of drup	₩.	-			

or ascohol				or alcohol at act		1
et achool	Total	Easy	Hard	Impossible	Not known	Drug not largem
licetol	100%	31%	31%	16%	22%	1%
larijvana	100	30	27	16	25	• •
oceine	100	11	33	25	31	•
Thick	190	9	29	28	32	ė
peradowners	100	20	26	17	31	š
ther drugs	190	14	27	19	`37	3

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. The total number of students represented NES 21,554,092

Profile of achool characteristics

School characteristic	Studente re to SCS sur Number	presented May Percent
	*	
Type of school		
Public	19,264,643	89%
Private	1,873,077	9
Not apportuined	416,372	2
Orade in sphool		
S	1,817,511	8%
7th	3,170,128	15
8th	3,258,506	15
9th	3,390,701	16
10th	3,092,441	14
11th	3,223,624	15
1201	3,171,819	15
Other	439,364	2
Students' reports of p	ohooi	
secretly meesures		
Students connot legy	0	
grounds ethinch	18,159,308	75%
Student spends day		
in seme classroom	1,745,291	8
Teachers monitor hal	·-	
at class changes	14,034,906	71
Had patrols present		
during day	13,937,237	65
Visitors required to		
report to office	19,707,748	91
Sale to store		
valuables in locker	9,329,368	43
Number of students	21,554,092	

- Eighty-nine percent of students attended public schools, while 9% were in private schools. The remaining 2% did not provide information on the nature of support for their schools.
- The number of students was evenly distributed among grades from 7th to 12th, approximately 15% for each grade. Only 6% of the students were sixth graders because many students in that grade were younger than age 12, the minimum for NCVS respondents.
- Of the school security practices measured by the SCS, the most common was requiring visitors to report to the school office (91%), followed by restricting students to school grounds during lunch (75%), placing teachers in halls during class changes (71%), and patrolling the halls at other times (65%).
- Slightly more than 4 of 10 students. believed that valuables were safe in their lockers.

Drugs and alcohol in school

Drugs

- . In the first half of 1989 about 30% of the students interviewed believed that marijuana was easy to obtain at school (table 3). In comparison, 9% said crack was easy to obtain, and 11% claimed cocaine to be readily available.
- Overall, students most frequently reported that drugs of any type were hard or impossible to obtain at school. Approximately 58% of students said cocaine and crack were hard or impossible to obtain at school.

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Students who did not change classes were excluded.

	Total	Per	cent of stude		
Student	number	,		Not	Notknown
characteristic	of students	Total	Available	aveilable	if available
					
Per Malo	10.693.314	100%	69%	12%	19%
Farrate	9.776.470	100	66	11	22
	D, 110, -10	1 644		• •	
Rece			n.		COD:
White	18,417,105	100%	C9%	11%	20%
Black	3,223,708	100	67	11	22
Other	728,971	100	58	18	24
Hispanio origin					
Hispanic	1,884,679	100%	64%	12%	24%
Hon-Hispanic	18,410,678	100	68	11	20
Notatoertained	74,428	100	52	31	18
Age					
12	2,688,982	100%	53%	24%	23%
13	3,078,909	100	60	18	21
14	3,055,401	100	64	13	24
15	3,086,095	100	70	7	23
16	3,168,628	100	76	6	18
17	3,150,323	100	77	6	17
18	1,721,111	100	78	6	16
19	220,338	100	79	5	17
Family income					
Lone than \$15,000	4,328,990	100%	67%	13%	20%
\$15,000-\$29,999	5,291,904	100	88	11	21
130,000-549,999	5,551,030	100	88	11	21
\$50,000 or more	3,321,663	100	70	55	18
Notecontained	1,876,197	100	66	13	21
Location of residence					
Control city	5,418,168	100%	66%	13%	21%
Suburbe	9,640,427	100	67	11	22
Normestocolitan area	5.311.191	100	71	11	18

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. "Available" includes students who said drugs were easy or hard to get at school; "not available" includes those saying drugs were impossible to get at school.

-	 			
	 	 		
	 	 	_	

	Total	Percent of students reporting drugs				
Behool	number			Not	Notknown	
characteristic	of students.	Total	Available	evelable	if available	
Type of school						
Public	18,215,207	100%	70%	9%	21%	
Private	1,747,408	100	52	36	13	
Not known	407,170	100	66	8	26	
Grada leval						
60	1,827,384	100%	50%	26%	24%	
7th	2,918,290	100	£1	17	22	
BØ1	3,034,895	100	60	20	21	
981	3,236,182	100	69	7	24	
100	2,986,953	100	73	7	20	
1111	3,104,712	100	79	,•	16	
120	3,105,428	100	78	6	16	
Other	375,940	100	44	26	30	
Cange						
Present	3,155,169	100%	78%	5%	16%	
Not present	16,065,729	100	6 5	13	20	
Not known	1,149,887	100	62	3	34	

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "evallable" and "not evallable."

- A larger proportion of males than of females knew whether drugs were available in school (table 4). Among those students who knew whether someone could obtain drugs at their school, the same proportions of males and females reported that drugs were available.
- Although similar proportions of black (67%) and white (69%) students claimed that drugs were available at school, these students were significantly more likely than persons belonging to other racial groups (58%) to say drugs could be obtained.
- Generally, the older the student (from ages 12 to 15), the more likely he or she was to say that drugs were available at school. However, among those who knew whether a person could obtain drugs at their school, students for each age between 15 and 19 were about equally likely (58%) to have said drugs were available.
- Students' reporting of the availability of drugs in school did not vary significantly by ethnicity or levels of family income.
- Among students who knew whether a
 person could obtain drugs at their school,
 no measurable differences distinguished
 reports of drug availability by central city
 (84%), suburban (86%), and nonmetropolitan area (86%) students. Central city
 and suburban students were more likely
 than nonmetropolitan area students to say
 that they did not know about the
 availability of drugs.
- Public school studer is were more likely than private school students to say drugs were available (70% versus 52%) (table 5).
- About half of the sixth graders reported that drugs were available, compared to three-fourths or more of the students in grades 10 to 12.

 Students who said a person would find drugs easy to obtain were generally more likely to have been victime than students who said someone would find drugs either hard or impossible to obtain (table 6).

Alcohol or drug and	Total number	ofshu	Percent of students victimize			
ave lability	of students	Total	Violent	Рторе		
Alcohol						
Esty	6,637,706	11%	2%	9%		
Hard	6,712,646	9	2	7		
	3,407,854	8	2	7		
Not length	4,673,642	8	2	6		
Marquette						
Ency	6,566,766	11%	3%	9%		
Hard	5,918,567	8	1	7		
	3,494,543	8	2	7		
THE ISTANT	5,396,256	8	2	6		
Cocaine						
Easy	2,297,249	11%	4%	9%		
Hard	7.034,616	10	2	8		
aubormplo	5,354,381	9	2	7		
PROCESSION .	8,655,568	8	2	6		
Crack						
Easy	1,862,226	12%	4%	9%		
Hard	6,336,322	9	2	7		
	6,018,289	10	2	8		
Motignown	6,986,776	8	2	6		
Uppers/dow						
Ensy	4,399,177	12%	3%	10%		
Hard	5,555,802	8	1	7		
BTPOSMOIO	3,723,187	8	£	6		
Notimown	6,/50,441	8	2	8		
Otherdrugs						
Easy Herd	2,992,401	13%	4%	10%		
4 3 Miles 100	5,895,744	8	1	7		
Impossible		8	1	7		
Notknown	8,029,741	8	2	7		

Table 7. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of drugs at achool

Percent of students

_	Percent of students ever fearing an attac				
Total number of students	At school	Going to sand from school			
13,846,874	25%	16%			
2,383,931	13	10			
4,158,980	17	12			
	01students 13,846,874 2,383,931	Total number At of students school 13,846,874 25% 2,363,931 13			

Note: Cases in which the types of drugs were not known to the respondent were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available." Comparing students who said someone could obtain drugs at their school with those in schools where drugs were impossible to obtain, the students in schools with drugs available were twice as likely to fear an attack at school (25% versus 13%) and 1.5 times as likely to fear an attack going to or from school (16% versus 10%) (table 7).

Drug prevention

 Students attending schools in which drugs were available were more likely than students in schools without drugs to indicate that their schools were taking some action to prevent drug use (91% versus 74%) (table 8).

- Nearly a fourth of students in schools where they said drugs were not available reported that their schools had taken no preventative measures.
- Students most frequently said hall patrols were used as a drug prevention measure at school (71%). Other common strategies to prevent drug use included locker searches (46%) and restroom checks (43%) (table 9).

Table 8. Drug prevention measures, by swalishilty of drugs at school

		Perce drug :	nt of stude revention	nta reporti Incasuras	ng achool	
Drugs at school	Total number of students	Total	No action	Some action taken	Not known	
Available	13,846,874	100%	9%	91%	1%	
Not available Not known	2,363,931	100	24	74	1	
i avaiable	4,158,980	100	11	86	3	

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not svaliable."

Table 8. T	ypes of drug	prevention
MOSSILIPE	tations at each	ools

Percent of students reporting
46%
25
71
43
10
11

Note: Detail does not total 100% because respondents may have reported more than one measure.

Drug education classes

- White students (40%) were more likely than black students (36%) to have attended drug education classes (table 10).
- Forty-four percent of students in nonmetropolitan areas each that in the previous 8 months they had attended drug education classes at school. These students were more likely than students from suburban areas (40%) to have attended such classes, and central city students (35%) were the least likely to have attended drug education classes.
- About the same proportions of public and private school students had attended drup education classes, although a larger proportion of those in public schools said that a person could obtain drugs at their school.
- Sixth graders, while the least likely to feel that someone could obtain drugs at their schools, were the most likely to have attended drug education classes (56%). Ninth through twelfth graders were the least likely to have attended drug education classes but claimed, in the largest proportions, that drugs were obtainable at school (see tables 5 and 10).
- Students saying drugs were not available in their school were more likely to have attended drug education classes than students in schools where a person could obtain drugs (44% versus 40%).

Alcohol

- Roughly equal proportions of students said that alcohol was easy or hard to obtain at school (31%) (table 3).
- Students who reported that alcohol was easy to obtain were more likely to have been victims of property crimes than students who claimed that alcohol was hard or impossible to obtain (table 6).

Timbs 10. Attendance by selected student	at drug education (and achool characti	ristics ristics	the	previous a monura,
ð				

achopi		Percent of students who had attended drug aducation classes during the provious 8 mpnths		
elsaractoristic	number of students	Total	Yes	No
				•
Sex			A7704	61%
Male	11,067,277	100%	39% 40	60 60
Fernale	10,288,418	100	40	bu
Race				
White	17,148,439	100%	40%	60%
Black	3,419,822	100	38	64
Other	790,634	100	39	61
Kliapanio origin				
Hispanic	2,014,516	100%	38%	62%
Non-Hapanic	19,268,603	100	40	60
Not securitated	72,575	100	45	55
Location of residence				
Central city	5,775,761	100%	35%	65%
Suburbs	9,979,126	100	40	60
Nonmetropolitan area	5,600,608	100	44	56
Type of echoci				
Public	19,104,156	100%	39%	61%
Private	1,852,175	100	41	59
Not known	399,384	100	42	58
Grade level				
85)	1,797,134	100%	56%	44%
7111	3,144,651	100	48	52
Bth	3,213,591	100	47	53
9th	3.374.698	100	36	64
10th	3,061,084	100	35	65
11th	3,168,797	100	73	67
12th	3,154,843	100	27	73
Other	420,956	100	43	57
Drug evallability				
Aveilable	13,751,166	100%	40%	60%
Motevallable	2,343,943	100	44	56
Not known	4.095.275	100	35	65

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs or whether he or she had attended drug education classes were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

	Total	Pe	cent of stude	ents reportin	asicohol
Budent	number			Nat	Not known
traractoristic	of students	Total	Available	eldatavs	if evaliable
Malo	11,101,022	100%	63%	16%	20%
Female	10,330,826	100	61	15	23
lane					
White	17.212.097	100%	83%	15%	21%
Binck	3,421,773	100	60	15	28
Other	797,978	100	54	21	25
lispanie origin					
Hispanic	2.007.971	100%	56%	18%	28%
Non-Hispanic	19,349,450	100	63	18	21
Not secentained	74,428	100	46	31	23
GP.					
12	3,191,908	100%	45%	28%	27%
13	3,292,209	100	54	21	25
14	3,232,719	100	59	15	25
15	3,203,049	100	65	11	24
16	3,270,114	100	70	11	19
17	3,262,485	100	74	11	15
18	1,749,721	100	74	12	14
19	229,544	*00	86	11	24
emily income					
Loss than \$15,000	4,615,648	100%	58%	18%	24%
\$15,000-\$29,999	5,594,006	100	63	15	22
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,774,786	100	64	15	22
\$50,000 or more	3,488,562	100	85	17	18
Not ascertained	1,960,886	100	62	16	23
postion of residence					
Central city	5,770,121	100%	58%	18%	24%
Suburbe	10,048,860	100	62	15	23
Normetrapolitza area	5,614,868	100	67	15	18

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which alcohol was not known to the respondent were excluded. "Available" includes students who said alcohol was easy or hard to get at achool; "not evallable" includes those saying alcohol was impossible to get at school.

	Total	Pe	reent of stude	ents respontin	gicohoi	
School characteristic	number ofstudents	Total	Available	Not evalable	Not known if available	·
Type of school						
Public	19,151,251	100%	63%	14%	23%	
Private	1,866,688	100	52	38	12	
Natimown	413,910	100	61	10	29	
Grade invel						
各的	1,803,734	100%	42%	30%	28%	
7 5 1	3,149,322	100	54	20	26	
841	3,242,854	100	54	22	24	
9111	3,369,959	100	65	10	25	
10th	3,086,172	100	68	12	20	
11th	3,208,228	100	73	10	17	
12th	3,171,819	100	75	12	13	
Other	425,960	100	36	32	32	

Findings on the availability of alcohol in school resemble the findings on drug availability with a few exceptions:

- Non-Hispanics were more likely than Hispanics to report that a person could obtain alcohol at school (63% versus 56%) (table 11).
- Students whose families earned under \$15,000 a year were less likely than students in families with higher incomes to say that a person could obtain alcohol at school.
- Among students who knew whether or not a person could obtain alcohol in their school, suburban (80%) and nonmetropolitan area (82%) students were more likely than urban (76%) students to have claimed that alcohol was available in their achools.
- The higher their grade level, the more likely the students were to report that alcohol was available at their school (table 12).
- Students who reported alcohol to be available at school were more likely to fear attack than students who reported alcohol as being unavailable (table 13).

Table 13. Students over fearing an attack, by availability of stochol at school

		Percent of students evertearing an attac		
Alcohol at school	Total number of students	At school	Gloing to and from achool	
Available	13,350,352	24%	15%	
Notavailable Notanown	3,407,854	17	12	
ifavallable	4,673,642	19	14	

Note: Cases in which alcohol was not known to the respondent were excluded. See table 11 for definitions of "available," and "not available,"

14

Ganga

 Seventy-nine percent of students said no gangs existed at their schools; 15% reported gangs, while another 5% were not sure whether gangs existed at their schools (table 14).

	Total number of students	Percent of students reporting
		
Street gange at school		
Present	3,300,826	15%
Notpresent	17,041,519	79
Not known or not		
asportalned	1,211,747	5
Frequency of fights		
petween cang member		
None	1,878,041	37%
Once or twice a year	843.807	19
One or belong month	743,849	16
Once or twice a week	337,868	7
Aimost every day	219,516	5
Motescertained	689.894	15
	505,054	
Attache orthreste		
onteachers Yes	3.468.631	15%
No	15,639,976	73
Notingum or not	d/8,860,C)	12
secutained	2.445.485	11
	-1 + 154	

Table 15. Victimization of students, by gang presence at school							
Gengs	Total number of students	repor	int of stud ting victin Violent	rization			
Present Not present Not known	3,300,828	12% 8 8	3% 2 2	9% 7 7			

 Of those students who said there were or could be gangs at their school, 37% reported that the gang members never fought at school. An additional 19% claimed that gang members fought once or twice a year, while 12% said that members fought once or twice a week or even every day.

Table 16. Students reporting gang presence at achool, by astected student characteristics					
Student characteristic	Total number of students	Percentef students re- porting gangs			
Sex		_			
Maie Female	11,166,316 10,387,778	16% 15			
A minimum	10001,110	15			
Race White	47 900 000	14%			
Black	17,306,626 3,449,488	20			
Other	797,978	25			
tilamania calain					
Hispanio origin Hispanic	2,026,968	32%			
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	14			
Not ascertained	74,428	12 *			
Age					
12	3,220,891	12%			
13	3,318,714	15			
14	3,264,574	18			
15 16	3,214,109 3,275,002	16 15			
17	3,273,628				
18	1,755,625	14			
19	231,348	17			
Family income					
Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	17%			
\$7,500-\$9,999	791,088	21			
\$10,000-\$14,998	1,823,150				
\$15,000-\$24,999 \$25,000-\$29,999	3,772,445 1,845,313	18 16			
\$30,000-\$49,999	5.798,448				
\$50,000 and over	3,499,382	11			
Not ascertained	1,983,849	16			
Piace of residence					
Contral city	5,816,321	25%			
Suburbs	10,089,207				
Monmetropolitan area	5,648,564	8			
*Estimate is based on see Methodology.	10 or fewer o	2599;			

- Sixteen percent of respondents claimed that a student had attacked or threatened a teacher at their school in the 6 months before the interview. Nearly three-fourths said no attacks or threats against teachers had occurred at their schools, and an additional 11% did not know.
- Among all students, the 15% who reported the presence of gangs were more likely than students from schools without gangs to be victims of some type of crime (12% versus 8%) (table 15).
- A higher percentage of black students (20%) than white students (14%) said their school had gangs (table 16). A relatively high proportion of Hispanics (32%), compared to non-Hispanics (14%), attended schools with gangs.
- Overail, about the same percentage of students of different ages reported gangs at school, except that the schools of students age 12 were somewhat less likely to have gangs than the schools of students ages 14 to 17.
- Students in households with an income below \$30,000 a year were more likely to attend a school that had gangs than were students in families with higher annual incomes.
- Students in central cities were the most likely to report gangs at their schools (25%); nonmetropolitan area students the least (8%).
- Students at schools with gangs were about twice as likely as students from schools without gangs to be afraid of attack, both at school and on the way to or from school (table 17).
- Students at schools with gangs were more likely to avoid areas inside the school, such as restrooms or hallways, than areas outside the school building.
- Seventy-eight percent of students at schools with gangs reported that a person could obtain drugs at school, compared to 66% of students at schools without gangs (table 5).

Table 17. Students fearing an attack or avoiding areas inside or outside of school, by gang presence at echool

	Percent of students							
	Total number	Everles	ing an attack Going to and	Avoiding grags				
Genes	of students	Atschool	from school	inside school	Outside school			
Present	3,300,626	35%	24%	13%	8%			
Motpresent	17,041,519	18	12	3	2			
Notinown	1,211,747	34	31	8	4			

Feer of crime at school

 Victims of violent crimes were about 3 times as likely as nonvictims to report they were afraid of being attacked at school (53% versus 19%) (table 13).

The overwhelming majority of students who had not been victimized reported no fear of attack, either at school (81%) or on the way to and from echool (87%).

. Seventy-four percent of violent crime victims said that they never feared attack on the way to and from school; 47% never feared attack at school.

 Students who had been robbed or assaulted during the previous 6 months were more likely to avoid certain places at school out of fear of attack or harm (25%) than those who had experienced a theft or attempted theft (10%). About 5% of those who had not been victimized reported staving away from places at school to avoid attacks (table 19).

. Six percent of students indicated they avoided some place in or around their school because they thought someone might attack or harm them there (table 20). School restrooms (3%) were most often mentioned as a place students avoided, followed by school hallways (2%).

Location of foerod	Total	Pe	Percent of students feering an attack			
attack and whether	ה של ודעה			Aimost	Some-	Most
victim of violent crime	of students	Total	Never	Never	times	times
At school Violent crime victime	430,819	100%	47%	28%	18%	7%
Nonvictoria	16,672,027	100	81	15	4	~
n trauni to and from school Violent crime						
victima	430,819	100%	74%	15%	8%	3%
Monvietma	16,643,909	100	87	10	3	••

Table 19. Students avoiding places at school out of tear, by victimization

Note: Percentages may not total 100% because of

rounding. The category "not accertained" has been excluded on each fear variable.

Type of victimization at school	Total number of students	Percent of students ever swolding places at echool out of fear
Any victimizat	lon	
Yes	1,927,162	12%
No	19,626,931	5
Any violent violimization		
Yes	430,819	25%
No	21,123,273	5
Any property violanization		
Yes	1,574,354	10%
No	19,979,738	6

Table 20. Students avoiding places inside or outside achool out of feer of crime

*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases:

see Methodology.
-Less than 0.5%.

Place avolded	Percent of students avoiding places out of fear of crime	_
Shortcul	1.5%	
inalda achool		
Entrance	1.3%	
Hallways	2.1	
Calotoria	1.6	
Restroom	2.7	
Other places	1.1	
Outside sobool		
Parking lot	1.3%	
Other places	1,7	

- About the same percentage of male and female students feared an attack at school and avolded certain places because of that fear (table 21). However, female students expressed more fear of attack going to and from school than did male students.
- About the same percentage of black students, white students, and students of other races such as Asians and American indians reported being afraid of attack at school and avoiding a place out of fear. However, more black students (21%) and students of other races (18%) feared

attack coing to and from school than did white students (13%).

- Hispanic students were more likely than non-Hispanics to indicate fear of attack both at school and going to and from school. Compared to non-Hispanic students, Hispanics were more likely to have avoided at least one place at school out of fear of being hurt.
- Younger students were more likely than older students to fear attack at school or going to and from school. Also, younger students were more likely to avoid certain places than were older students --- 12year-olds being twice as likely to report such action as 18-year-olds.
- Students whose families had moved twice or more during the previous 5 years were more likely to report being afraid of attack at school than were students who had moved less frequently.
- Generally, students from families with low incomes were the most likely to be atraid of attacks at school and to avoid places because of that fear.
- Students in central cities were more likely than suburban students to indicate that they at least occasionally feared attack at school and that they avoided certain places out of fear. Central city students were also more likely to fear attack going to and from school than were either suburban or nonmetropolitan area students.

Table 21. Students avaiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by selected student characteristics

			ercont of stude	AL PE
	Total	Avaiding	Ever learin	o en attack
Shadent	number	pieces at		Going to and
characteristic	of students	school	At school	from school
Sax				
	11,166,316	6%	22%	14%
	10,387,776	6	21	15
1.4611 (Bride)	. m. 1. 1. m.	•		
Rece				
	17,306,626	6%	22%	13%
Black	3,449,488	7	22	21
Other	797,978	6	22	18
- Print				
Hispanio origin				
Mananic	2,026,968	8%	26%	22%
Non-Hisospic	19,452,897	8	21	14
Not excertained	74,428	14"	23°	19"
1401 0400 100				
Age				
12	3,220,891	8%	27%	18%
12	3,318,714	7	27	17
14	3.264.574	7	24	15
15	3,214,109	6	21	13
18	3,275,002	5	20	14
17	3.273.628	Ā	17	12
18	1,755,825	4	13	10
• • •	231,348	8.	20	15
19	231,340	•	20	•
Number of times				
jeurgh worked				
in last 5 years				
Mane Mane	18,905,538	8%	21%	15%
	845.345	5	18	11
Once	610,312	8	27	16
Twice	1.141.555	8	26	16
3 or more		7	24*	14"
Not espertained	51,343	,	€"4"	• ••
E				
Family Issome	2,041,418	8%	24%	18%
Less than \$7,500		9	25	18
\$7,500-\$9,999	791,088 1,823,150	8	25	19
\$10,000-\$14,999		8	23	15
\$15,000-\$24,899	3,772,445		21	15
\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	6	21 21	13
\$30,000-\$40,999	5,798,448	5	~ .	11
\$50,000 or more	3,498,382	4	19	
Not excertained	1,983,848	5	18	16
Pince of residence	F 646 B64	8%	24%	19%
Central city	5,816,321		20	12
Suburbs	10,089,207	5		13
Normetropitan area	5,648,564	8	22	10

^{*}Estimate is based on 10 or lewer sample cases; see Methodology.

			prount of stud	lents
Location,	Total	Avoiding	Everteerin	gen etteck
race, and	number	places at	44	Going to an
Hispanic origin	etnebute to	echool	At pchool	from school
Central oily				
Reco				
White	3,769,413	7%	25%	18%
Black	1,786,798	8	22	24
Other	280,111	11	20	18
Missanic origin				
Nispanic "	984,145	10%	28%	28%
Mon-Hispanic	4,826,185	7	23	18
Not escertained	25,992	11"	7*	7*
Seburbs				
Race				
White	8,776,228	5%	20%	12%
Black	919,265	4	21	15
Other	393,714	4	20	19
Hispanic origin				
Hispanic	783,655	6%	23%	21%
Non-Hispanic	9,278,865	5	20	12
Not ascertained	26,687	21	38*	33*
Honmetropolitan are	R\$			
Race				
White	4,760,985	6%	21%	12%
Black	783,425	9	24	20
Other	124,154	4	32	13*
Hispanic origin				
Hispanic	279,168	6%	24%	13%
Non-Hispanic	5,347,647	6	22	14
Not ascertained	21,749	g.	23 -	16*

- Black students in the central city were more likely to harbor fear of attack going to and from school (24%) than were suburban biacks (15%) (table 22). Similarly, white students in the central city were more likely to fear such an attack (18%) than were suburban whites (12%).
- Among students in the central city, blacks (24%) were more likely than writes (18%) to fear an attack going to and from school. Suburban students of different races reported about the same level of fear.
- Public school students (22%) were substantially more likely than students in private schools (13%) to indicate some level of fear of attack at school (table 23). Students in public schools were also twice as likely as private school students to avoid certain places at school out of
- Students going to and from school by car were the least likely of all students and those using public transit the most likely — to claim they had feared attack going to and from school (table 24). Students who walked were more likely to fear attack going to and from school, to avoid the shortest route to school, and to stay away from places outside the school building out of fear than were students using other modes of transportation except public transit.

	Table 23. Students avoiding pieces at school out of tear, or ever fearing an attack, by school characteristics
Ì	Percent of students

*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

			Percentol str	dents.
	Total	Avoiding	Evertee	ring an attack
Bchool	number	places at		Going to end
characteristic	of students	school	Atschool	from school
Type of school				
Public	19,264,843	6%	22%	15%
Private	1,873,077	3	13	14
Not seconstrued	416,372	7	30	16
Grade in school				
8th	1,817,511	8%	25%	18%
7th	3,170,126	9	29	18
8 \$ 1	3,258,506	6	25	17
9th	3,990,701	7	22	13
10th	3,082,441	5	22	14
11th	3,223,624	4	18	13
12th	3,171,819	4	15	11
Other	439.364	7	21	15

Objects for salf-protection

 Two percent of students had taken something to achool to protect themselves from attack or harm at least once

during a 6-month period (table 25). Objects for protection could have included weapons like a gun, knife, or brass knuckles, or things that could be used as weapons --- razor blades, spiked

jewelry, and other objects capable of hunting an assellant.

 Students in central cities (3%) were more likely than those in the suburbs (2%) to report taking to achool something that could be used as a weapon; students in nonmetropolitan areas (1%) were the least likely to arm themselves with objects for protection. Males (3%) were slightly more likely than females (1%) to take

such objects to school.

 The SCS asked students whether teachers stood in doorways and monitored hallways during class changes, whether anyone patrolled hallways, and whether visitors were required to report to the school office. Black students were

School security measures

		Pen	cent of stude	
	Total	Ever learing an	Ayold	ng out of hear
Transportation to and from school	number of students	attack going to and from achool	Shortest route	Piaces outside achool building
Walking	2,725,213	25%	4%	8%
School bus	7,985,766	13	1	3
Public transportation	808.325	31	4	8
Cer Other, including	7,257,804	8	1	2
combined modes	2,757,608	20	2	3
Not excertained	39.378	-	-	-

Table 25. Students reporting that they had taken acmething to echool to protect themselves					
Student characteristic	Total number at students	Percent of students who had taken a weapon or object to school for protection			
Sex					
Malo	11,166,316	3%			
Female	10,387,778	1			
Rape					
White	17,306,626	2%			
Black	3,449,488	2 2			
Other	797,978	2			
Klapanie origin					
Hispanic	2,026,968	2%			
Non-Hapenic	19,452,697	2			
Notescartained	74,428	~			
Place of residence					
Control olly	5,816,321	3%			
Buburbs	10,089,207	2			
Nonmotropiten et	DE 5,648,564	1			

	Total number of students	Percent of stu- dents reporting	Total	Percent of reporting	students
Student	who changed	teachers monitor	number	Hai patrols	Visitor
characteristic	classrooms*	classchanges	of etudents	during day	elgn-in
	•		-		
iex		 .			
Maio	10,179,574	70%	11,166,316	65%	91%
Female	9,529,228	72	10,287,776	65	92
ace					
White	15,928,642	70%	17,306,626	63%	91%
Black	3,161,172	79	3,449,488	74	95
Other	720,988	51	797,978	66	90
lapanio origin					
Hispanic	1,827,924	58%	2,026,968	72%	92%
Non-Hispanie	17,918,870	71	19,452,697	64	91
Notascertsined	63,907	84	74,428	86	100
ge					
12	2,372,119	74%	3,220,891	51%	88%
13	3,007,975	79	3,318,714	60	91
14	3,101,059	74	3,264,574	84	92
15	3,119,580	89	3,214,109	70	93
16	3,186,217	67	3,275,002	71	93
17	3,198,295	67	3,273,528	70	92
18	1,847,958	88	1,755,825	69	92
19	201,619	65	231,348	71	90
amily income					
Less than \$7,500	1,824,185	76%	2,041,418	67%	83%
\$7,500-\$9,999	702,516	77	791,086	69	95
\$10,000-\$14,990	1,615,388	75	1,823,150	63	92
\$15,000-\$24,999	3,463,960	72	3,772,445	66	91
\$25,000-\$29,999	1,714,809	73	1,845,313	64	91
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,352,099	72	5,798,448	84	91
\$50,000 and over	3,308,509	80	3,498,382	62	90
Notascortsined	1,829,377	70	1,983,849	88	93
lace of residence					
Control city	5,217,390	71%	5,816,321	69%	91%
Suburbs	9,380,062	68	10,089,207	63	91
Nonmetropolitan are	5,211,348	77	5,648,564	62	92

more likely than students of other racial groups to report that their schools took such security measures (table 26).

- Hispanic students were more likely than non-Hispanics to attend schools where halfs were patrolled.
- Teacher monitors were less common in schools attended by students age 15 or older. However, these older students

 Students whose annual family income was less than \$15,000 were the most likely to attend schools where teachers monitored class changes and visitors had to sign in. Students whose families

earned less than \$30,000 annually reported school hall patrols more frequently than other students.

were the most likely to attend schools using teacher monitors, and suburban students the least likely. Students from

were more likely than other students to indicate that nonteachers patrolled their

Studenta from nonmetropolitan areas

urban centers were more likely to have their halls patrolled than students from other areas.

- Violent crime occurred about as frequently in schools using security messures like hall monitors as in schools without such measures, according to student reports (table 27).
- Students in schools using hall monitors or patrols as a security measure were more likely to fear an attack than those attending schools without monitors or patrols (table 28).
- Two-thirds of all students reported that those caught fighting or drunk at school could be suspended (table 29). Approximately 38% indicated that students who cut class could also be suspended and/or given detention. By comparison, a fourth of the survey participants said students who were disrespectful to teachers could be suspended. Students were most likely to be sent to the principal's office and/or given detention for being disrespectful.

			-
	Victimization		
at achool	, by school a	ecruity measur	76

	·	_	_	
School	·	Percent o		
secnify	number i	abouting	vichnize	TOU.
mossure	of students	otal Y	loient P	noport
Teacher				
hall monitor	r u			
Yes	14,034,908	9%	2%	8%
No	5,876,190	10	2	8
Other hall				
monitore				
Yes	13,937,237	10%	2%	8%
No	7,458,759	7	2	8
Visitor				
elgn-in				
Yes	19,707,768	9%	2%	7%
No	765,387	9	2.	7
Openscho	si e			
Yes	4,415,242	10%	2%	8%
No	16,159,308	9	2	7
Only certa		-	-	•
G78009	811,087	10	3*	2

Note: The extegory "not ascertained" on each curity measure has been excluded. *Estimato is based on 10 or fewer eample cases; nee Methodology.

Table 28. Students over fearing attack at achool, by achool accurity measures

School security measure	Total number of students	Percent of students ever fearing an attack at achaol
Teacher monitors You	14,034,906	23%
No	5,676,190	20
Other hall monito	79	
Yes	13.937,237	24%
No	7,458,759	18
Visitor sign-in		
Yes	19,707,768	22%
No	765,387	20

Note: The estagory "not secontained" on each security measure has been excluded.

Table 29. Disciplinary actions that students reported, by infractions

	Percent of students reporting what happens to a student caught			
Disciplinary action	Not respect- ing a teacher	Fighting	Drunk at school	Cutting class
Nathing	2%		-	1%
Disciplined by a teacher	19	5	2	5
Sent to the principal's office	52	44	28	30
Parents are notified	21	26	27	25
Detention	38	20	5	39
Suspension	25	56	67	38
Other	10	9	17	11
Not known	3	2	15	8

Note: Detail will not total to 100% because respondents may have reported more than one action for each infraction. The number of students represented was 21,554,092. -Loss than 0.5%.

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) collects data on crime from a nationally representative sample of households (47,000 in 1990). When a household is selected for inclusion in the sample, household members age 12 or older are interviewed every 6 months for 3 years. During each interview information is obtained about the personal victimizations, if any, experienced by the interviewse in the 6 months preceding the interview. One member, generally over age 18, is also designated the household respondent, from whom information is obtained about all crimes committed against the household during the preceding 8 months.

The NCVS measures both attempted and completed incidents of the "olent crimes of rape, robbery, and aggravated and simple assault; personal thefts with and without contact; and the household crimes of burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft.

This report presents data collected in interviews conducted from January through June of 1989 as a supplement to the regular NCVS data collection program. It focuses on personal crimes of violence and theft that were committed inside a school building or on school property only.

The eligible respondents for this School Crime Supplement (SCS) were those household members who were between the ages of 12 and 19, had attended school at any time during the 6 months preceding the interview, and were enrolled in a school which would advance them towards the eventual receipt of a high school diploma. The number of valid respondents for the supplement was 10,449.

The tables that deal with crime measure victimization in terms of prevalence rather than incidence. Thus, victimization is measured in terms of how widespread it is among survey respondents rather than in terms of how frequently all victims had been victimized during the measurement period. Each individual who has been the victim of a violent crime, for example, is counted once in the violent crime index even if he or she has been victimized multiple times, each in separate violent incidents.

Unweighted counts of crimes occurring at school, from the NCVS survey instrument, reveal a slight underreporting of these crimes in the SCS. The supplement is administered to eligible respondents only after completion of their entire NCS interview. Thus, some students may forget to report to the supplement all victir izations occurring at school that were previously noted in the NCVS interview. In order to obtain the most complete count of crimes occurring at school and their characteristics, the authors chose to tally crimes committed against eligible SCS respondents by using NCVS victimizations of these respondents which were reported to have occurred at school.

in this report, nonvictims are those valid respondents who did not report any victimizations on either the NCVS questionnaire or the SCS.

Estimation procedures

An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims, while a victimization refers to the criminal act as it affects a single victim. Therefore, because personal crimes may involve more than one victim, the number of victimizations is determined by the number of victims of the crime. This number is calculated by the application of a victim-veight, using standard NCVS weighting procedures, which results in a national estimate of victimization.

The data in this report have been weighted by a special "school crime" weight. This weight differs from the victim-weight in that incoming (first interview) as well as continuing household rotation groups are used in its computation. For a detailed description of NCVS estimation procedures, see appendix III of Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1989 (NCJ-129391).

Reliability of comparisons

All comparisons made in this report were tested to determine whether the differences between groups were statistically significant. The comparisons presented are significant at the 95% confidence level or above, except where qualified by phrases such as, "somewhat" or "some evidence", which indicate significance at the 90% confidence level

only. Statistical significance at the 95% confidence level requires that the estimated difference between the values being compared is greater than twice the standard error of this difference.

Tables also note when estimates are based on 10 or fewer sample cases; standard errors cannot be computed accurately for such estimates. It is particularly inadvisable to compare these with other estimates based on a small sample size.

Definition of terms

Hall monitors: Hall monitors other than teachers such as security guards, principals, or other students.

Inside areas: Inside areas include hallways, steirs, cafeterias, restrooms, and any other areas inside of the school building.

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA): A county or group of counties containing at least one city or combined cities of 50,000 or more inhabitants and adjacent counties which are metropolitan in character and are economically and socially integrated with the central city. The MSA is named after the central city (or cities) contained in it. Boundaries are drawn by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

Open school: A school in which students are permitted to leave the school grounds during lunch.

Other drugs: Other illegal drugs such as heroine, LSD, PCP, and unspecified drugs which may be available at school.

Other race: Includes Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans.

Outside areas: Outside areas include entrances into the school, parking lots, and any other areas on school grounds.

Property crime: in this report, this crime category comprises personal larceny, with or without contact, and motor vehicle theft.

Violent crime: Includes the crimes of rape, robbery, and simple and aggravated assault.

	OMB No 1121-0139 Approval Expres 12-31-85			
We estimate that if will take from 5 to 15 menutes to complete this unterview, with 10 minutes being the average time, if you have any cumments regarding these estimates or any other aspect of this survey, sand them to the Associate Director for Management Services, Room 2027, Bureau of the Ecrisia, Washington, DC 2023, or to the Office of	NGTICE — Your report to the Census Burnau is conditionalled by law 1U S Code 42. Sections 37889 and 37351. All identifiable information will be used only by persons engaged in and for the purposes of the survey, and may not be disclosed or released to others for any purposes.			
I Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Businet	FORM SCS-1 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
Washington, DC 20503.	AC TING ACL COLLEGE AND ACL OF ACT AND ACL OF ACL O			
Sample Control number	US DEPARTMENT OF LUSTICE			
PSU Sogment CK Serial	NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY			
	SCHOOL CRIME SUPPLEMENT			
A. Field representative code B. Respondent				
1000 Line No. [1002] Ag	e Name			
C. Type of interview	D. Reason for noninterview			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	American services			
1003 1 Personal - Self	1004 NCS noninterview			
2 Telephone - Self SKIP TO ITEM 1,	SCS noninterview			
3 Personal - Proxy SECTION E	3. Not available			
• 13 Telephone Proxy	4 Physically or mentally unable and			
⇒ Noninterview FILLITEM D	no proxy available			
	THE PLANT BY BITTHEFT			
FIELD REPRESENTATIVE - Read introduction -				
Now I have some additional qu	estions about your school ectivities.			
E. Screen Queet	tions For Supplement			
Were you attending school at any time PSS the fast ski months?	1995 1 1 1 185			
	2 No END INTERVIEW			
2. In what month did your current				
school year begin?	1006 August			
	September			
	of Other - Specify			
3. Did you attend school for all of the last	1007 1 Yes - SKIP to question 5			
six months?	2 No			
A Manufacture was to see a see	tone One month			
4. How many months were you in school during the lest sig months?	1900			
mand him wer ser utbillist.	2 Two months			
	3 Three months			
	* - Four months			
	5 [] Five months			
5. What grade are you in school?	1009 1 Sixth			
A. Masser Brûng ein Ann ni schichti	1909 1 Lisixth			
	s Eighth			
	4 Ninth			
	5 Tenth			
	6 Li Eleventh			
	7 Twelfth			
	a Other Specify			
	8 College level END INTERVIEW			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	ental Questions			
68. What is the name of your school?				
1	· ·			
\	A STATE OF THE STA			
	1010			
h le sebat alte accepte mulatata la company de la company	and the control of th			
b. In what city, county, and state is your school located?				
	City			
1	1011			
	County			
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ţ	1012			
7. Is your school public or private?	1013 Public			
	Private			
0 40				
8. What grades are taught in your school?	1016			
	Grades: 1			
	to			
	1016			
9. How far away from your home is the school you	1016 · Less than 1 mile			
attend?	1-5 miles			
	6-10 miles			
READ THE CATEGORIES.				
	4. 11 – 25 miles			
	More than 25 miles			
I .	Don't know how far			

F. Environmental Qu	estions -	- Continued
10. How do you get to school most of the time?	1817	1 □ Walk 2 □ School bus 3 □ Public bus, subway, train 4 □ Car 5 □ Bicycle, motorbike, or motorcycle 6 □ Some other way — Specify →
11. How do you get home from school most of the time?	1018	1 Walk 2 School bus 2 Public bus, subway, train 4 Car 5 Bicycle, motorbike, or motorcycle 6 Some other way - Specify 7
12. Are most students at your school allowed to leave the school grounds to set lunch?	1019	1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No 3 ☐ Only certain grades 4 ☐ Don't know
13. In the past six months, have you participated in or attended any extra-curricular school activities?	1020	yes 2□No
14. Do you spend most of the scheoldsy in the same classroom? 15. During class changes, do teachers stand in	1021	1 Yes — SKIP to question 16 2 No
the dographs and monitor the halls? 18. Does anyone joins) patrol the hallways	1028	2□No 1□Yes
during school hours?		1 □ Yes
17. Are visitors to your school required to report to the school office?	1024	1 LI Yes 7 II No 3 II Don't know
18. Is it safe to store money or valuables in your locker at school?	1028	+ ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No 3 ☐ Don't heve lockers 4 ☐ Don't know
19. What happens to a student who gets caught doing the following things in your school? READ THE ANSWER CATEGORIES IF NECESSARY. Mark (X) all that apply. 8. Being disrespectful to teachers?	1025	1 Nothing 2 Student disciplined by teacher 3 Student sent to the principal's office 4 Student's parents are notified 5 Detention 6 Suspension 7 Other — Specify 7
b. Fighting with other students?	1027	Nothing Student disciplined by teacher
Mark (X) all that apply.	7	Student sent to the principal's office Student's parents are notified Detention Suspension Other Specify
c. Drinking or being drunk at school?	1028	1 Nothing
Mark (X) all that apply.	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	2 Student disciplined by teacher 3 Student sent to the principal's office 4 Student's parents are notified 5 Detention 6 Suspension 7 Other Specify
d. Cutting classes?	1029	1 Nothing
Mark (X) all that apply.	•	2 Student disciplined by teacher 3 Student sent to the principal's office 4 Student's parents are notified 5 Detention 6 Suspension 7 Other - Specify
		D PART (RIPUW

Page ?

NE 25 1 . 1 2 22 MIRL

	F. Environmental Qu	estions) C	ontinued	···		
20.	Have you attended any drug education classes in your school during the last six months?	1030	2 1	Y s No Don't know			
21.	Does your school try to prevent students from having drugs or elechel in school in any of the following ways?	1031	2 1	Locker sear Security gu Teachers or	erds	etrollina	
	READ THE CATEGORIES			hells and so	hool groun	de	
	Mark (X) all that apply.	3		Surprise real Other — Spi			
		•		School take			
22.	The following question refers to the svallability of drags and sicohol in school. Tell me if you don't know what any of these items are.	·					-
	How easy or hard is it for someone to get the following things at your school?) 2 4	Fase	Hard	pagasahia	0 k	Don't unto wonk
	READ THE CATEGORIES.	1			mpossible	•	•
	_	1032	3,		31 !	4	5 []
Ð,	Marijuana	1023	ų i	2()	3[]	4 1 1	ai l
		1034	1	21.1	3 []	413	6.7
đ.	Crack	1038	•[]	2()	al ?	A 1.	5[]
8.	Uppers/downers	1036	1	el i	\mathbf{a}^r	al. i	5[]
f,	Other Blegal drugs	1037	•{]	2 f.j	3.73	41.1	ы.)
23.	Are there any street gamps at your school?	1038	2] Yes] No <i>- SKIP ti</i>] Don't know	o question 2	5	
24.	How often do street gang members fight with each other at school?	1039	3 4	Never or alm Once or twic Once or twic Once or twic Atmost even	e a week e a week		
25.	in the last six months, did a student attack or threaten to attack a teacher in your school?	1060	2	l Yes l No l Don't know			
	G. Victi	mizatio					
	READ THE FOLLOWING — The following questions are about crimes that may have happened to you at school. By "at suboo!" we mean in the school building, on the achool grounds, or on a school hus. Be sure to include crimes you have told me about earlier in this interview.	PGM 4					
25a	During the past six months, did anyone take money or things DIRECTLY FROM YOU by force, weapons, or threats at school?	1061		Yes No SKIP to	question 2	7a	
D.	How many times did this happen during the last aix months?	1042	-	Num	ber of times		,
€.	How many of these times was your total loss worth more than \$10?	1043		Num	ber of times		a
27a	During the last six months, did anyone steel something from your desk, locker, or some other place at ethool, (other than any incidente just mantioned)?	1044	1:	Yes No - SKIP to	guestion 2	Sa .	
b.	How many times did this happen during the last six months?	1045		Num	ber of times	. var e nome	ge f Secti
¢.	How many of these times was your total loss worth more than \$10?			Num			

Q. Victimizatio	on Continued
28s, (Other than the incidents just mentioned), did envone physically strack you at school during the last six months?	1847 Yes 2 No SKIP to question 29
b. How many times slid this happen?	Number of times
c. Did you go to a doctor as a result of (this/any of these) attacks?	1049 1 Yes 2 No - SKIP to question 28e
CHECK ITEM A If attacked only once, SKIP to question 28e.	
d. How many times did you receive injuries in any of these attacks at school that lad to a visit to the doctor?	Number of times
e.(1) If 28b is one time, ASK — Was that incident an estack or just a fight?	
(2) If 28b is more than one, ASK — How many of these (fill in number from 28b) attacks were just fights?	Number of fights p Incident was an attack
H. Av	oldance
29a. Did you stay at home any time during the last six months because you thought someone might attack or harm you at school?	1092 1 Yes 7 No - SkiP to question 30
b. How many times did you stay at home because you thought someone might attack or harm you at school?	1053 Number of times
30. Did you STAY AWAY from any of the following places because you thought someone might attack or harm you there? READ THE CATEGORIES	
a. The shortest route to school?	1034 1. Yes 2. No
b. The entrances into the school?	1955 n Yes 2 No
c. Any hallways or stairs in school?	1056 1 Yes 2 No
d. Parts of the school cafeterie?	1057 Yes 2 No
e. Any school restrooms?	1088 1 Yes 2 No
f. Other places inside the school building?	1059 1 Yes 2 No
g. School parking lot?	1090 1 Yes 2 No
h. Other places on school grounds?	1011 (Yes 2 No
i. Extra-curricular school activities?	1082 1 Yes 2 No
31. How often are you afraid that someone will attack or harm you at school?	1083 3. Never 7 Almost never 9 Sometimes 4 Most of the time
32. How often are you afraid that someone will attack or harm you on the way to and from school?	1 Never 2 Almost never 3 Sometimes 4 Most of the time
33. During the lest six months how often did you bring something to school to protect yourself from being attacked or harmed?	1 Never — SKIP to Check Item B 2 Almost never 3 Sometimes 4 Most of the time ASK question 34
34. What did you bring to school to protect yourself from being ettacked or harmed?	1068 1 Gun 2 Knife
Mark (X) all that apply.	a D Brass knuckles 4 D Razor blade 6 D Spiked jewelry 9 D Mace 7 D Nunchucks 8 D Something else Specify
35. Did bringing the weapon to school make you feel any safer?	1087 1Yes 2No
Is this the last household member to be interviewed	
Page 4	FERWSLE 1 11 921

Bureau of Justice Statistics

Movied October 1991i

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 301) 251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists. or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850.

BJS meintains the following mailing Hete:

- aw enforcement reports (new)
- ٠ Drugs and crime data (new)
- Justice spending & employment
- White-collar crime
- National Crims Survey (annual)
- Corrections (annua')
- Courts (annual)
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy
- Federal statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports
- (approximately twice a month) Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free: use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free. 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20, libraries call for special rates.

Public use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, Mi 48106 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

National Crime Victimization Survey

The Nation's two orine measures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90

nal victimization in the U.S. 1973-68 trends, NCJ-129392, 7/91 1989 (final), NCJ-129391, 6/91 1988 (final), NCJ-122024, 10/90

BUS special reports

Handgun crime victims, NCJ 123559 7/90 Black victims, NCJ 122562, 4/90 Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90 The redssigned National Crime Survey: ected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89 Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-109978, 3/68 Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/67 Violent crime frends, NCJ-107217, 11/87 Robbery victims, NCJ-104838, 4/87

Violent orime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87 Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86

Crime prevention measures, NCJ 100438 3/86

The use of weapons in committing crimes. NCJ 99643, 1/86 Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432

The economic cost of crime to victims. NCJ 93450 4/84

BJS builetins

Criminal victimization 1990, NCJ-130234 10/91

Crime and the Nation's households, 1990. NCJ-130302, 8/91 The crime of rape, NCJ-96777 3:85

lousehold burglary, NCJ-96021 1:85 leasuring crime, NCJ-75718 2/81

BJS technical reports

New directions for the NCS, NCJ 115571 3,000

Series crimes: Report of a field test, NGJ-104815, 4/87

pot crime, NCJ-131645, 9/91 Teenage victims of crime, NCJ 128129 5/91 Female victims of violent crime. Redusign of the National Crime Survey. NCJ-111457 3/89

The sessonality of crime victimization, NCJ 111033, 6/88

Crime and older Americans Information package, NCJ 104569-5:87-510 Victimization and tear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85-59-15 The National Crime Survey: Working papers.

Current and historical perspectives, vol. I.

NCJ-75374, 8/82 ethodology rtudie: NCJ-90307 12/84 m, vol. II.

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports Capital punishment 1990, NCJ-131648, 9:91 Prisoners in 1990, NCJ-129198, 5:91 Women in prison, NCJ-127991, 4/91 s and their Violent State prison immate

victims, NCJ-124133, 7/90 Prison rate violators, NCJ 120344, 12/89 em of priso mers released in 1963, NCJ-116261, 4/69

Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1988, NCJ-111940, 7/88 me served in prison and on parale, 1984, NCJ-108544, 12:87

Profile of State prison immates, 1988, NCJ 109926, 1/88

imprisonment in four countries. NCJ-103967, 2/87

Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12:86

ate and Federal prisoners, 1925-85, NCJ-102494, 11/96

Prison admiss ions and releases, 1983, NCJ-100582, 3/86

The prevalence of imprisonment. NCJ-93657, 7/85

ra at midyaar 1991 (press release). 10/91

presional populations to 1988, NCJ-130445, 10/91 1988, NCJ-124280, 3/91 dations in the United States:

Race of prisoners admitted to State and mi institutione, 1926-88, NCJ-125618, 6/91 National persections reporting program.

1985, NCJ-123522, 12/90 Historical statistics on prisoners in State and Federal institutions, yearend 1925-96,

NCJ-111098, 6/88 1984 cansus of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ-105585, 7/87

Census of jails and survey of jail inmates

BJS bulletins and special reports Drugs and jell inmetes, NCJ-130836, 8/91 Jall immetes, 1900, NCJ-129758, 6/91 Profile of Jall immetes, 1989, NCJ-129097,

Jaif inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264. 6/90 Population density in local jails, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90

tensus of local jails, 1988 (BUS butletin). NCJ-121101, 290

Jall inmates, 1987, NCJ-114319, 12/88 Drunk driving, NCJ-109949, 2/86 Jail inmates, 1965, NCJ-107129, 10/87

Census of local jalls 1988:

mmary and methodology, vol. 1, NCJ-127992, 3/91

Data for individual jalls in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. II-V, NCJ-130759-130782. 991

errous of local jatis, 1983: Data for individual jatis, Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. I-IV, NCJ-112796-9, 11/88

plected findings, methodology, summery tables, vol. V, NCJ-112796-11/88

Parole and probation

BJS bulletins

Probation and parole: 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 1988, NCJ-119970, 11/89

BUS special reports cidivism of young paroless, NCJ-104916. 5/87

Children in custody

Census of public and private juvenile detention, correctional, and shalter facilities, 1978-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89 Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

Law enforcement management

BJS bulletins and special reports Police departments in large cities, 1987, NCJ 119220 8/89

Profite of state and local law a agencies, NCJ-113949, 389

Expenditure and employment

BJS bulletins

istice expenditure and employment: 1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90

Anti-drug abuse formula granta: Justice variable pass-through data, 1988 (BJS rechnical report), NCJ-120070, 3/90 Justice expanditure and ome

1988 (full report), NCJ-125619 8/91 1965 (full report), NCJ 106356, 8/89 Extracto, 1964, 1985, 1986, NCJ 124139, 8/91

Courts

BJS bulletins

Pretrief release of felony defendants, 1888. NCJ-127202, 2/81

Felony sentences in State courts, 1988. NCJ-126923, 12/90

al delense for the poor, 1988. NCJ-112919, 9/88

State telony courts and felony laws. NCJ-108273, B/87 The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends, NCJ-96361, 2/85

kee filings in State courts 1983, NCJ-95111, 10:84

BJS special reports

Falony case processing in State courts. 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90

Felony case processing time, NCJ-101985 8/86

ncing in 18 local turisdictions. NCJ-97681. 8/85

sions sentenced to probation in courts, 1988, NCJ-124944, 11/90 elony defendants in large urban counties. 1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90

Profile of felors consicted in State courts. 1986, NCJ-120021, 1/90

is in 26 lelony courts. entending outcom NCJ-105743, 8/87

1987, NCJ-124140, 9/90 Felony laws of the 50 States and the District

of Columbia, 1986, NCJ 105066, 2/88, \$14.60 State pourt model statistical dictionary ment, NCJ-98328. 985 1st edition, NCJ-62320, 6/80

Privacy and security

Compandium of State privacy and security

elation: 1989 overview, NCJ-121157 5/90 1987 overview, NCJ-111097 8:68 1989 full report (1, 500 paget microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145)

NCJ-121158, 9/90 Criminal justice information policy: Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ 128567

Statutes requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ 129896, 6/91 Survey of criminal history information

ms, NCJ-125620, 3/91 Original records of entry, NCJ 125626 12/90

BJSSEARCH contenance proceedings: Criminal justice in the 1990's: The luture of information management, NCJ-121697 5/90

Juvenile and adult records: One system, one record?, NCJ-114947 1/90

en vs. confi ential moonds NCJ-113580, 1/88

oproving data quality. NCJ-115339, 589 Public access to criminal history record

information, NCJ-117458, 118 Juvenile records and recordicesping systems, NCJ 112815, 11/88

Automated fingerprint identification systems: Technology and policy issues. NCJ 104342, 4/87

Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ 101850 12/86

Drugs & crime data

Druge and crime facts, 1990 NES 126662 8-91 State drug resources: A national directory NCJ 122582-590

Federal drup data for national policy NICJ 122715, 4'90

Drugs and crime facts, 1989, NCJ 121827

Computer crime

BUS special reports comic fund transfer fraud, NCJ 98669 3/85 and estima, NCJ 92650 2 H4

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud NCJ-100461 4186

Expert witness manual, NCJ 7782* 981

Federal justice statistics

nandium of Facintal tustice Statistics. 1988, NCJ-130474 10/91 1986, NCJ 125617 191

1885, NCJ 123580 8/90 edural criminal case processing, 1980-49, with preliminary data for 1990, NCJ 130526 Federal cost

10/91 The Federal civil justice syste bulletim: NCJ 104769 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders

BUS special reports Immigration offenses, NCJ 124546 890 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87,

NCJ 118311 7/89 Drug Sear violators, 1980-88, NCJ 111783

Pretrial release and delection The Ball Reform Act of 1984, NCJ 109929 238 White-coller crime, NCJ 106876 9/87

General

BUS bulletins and apecial reports BJS telephone contacts, 91, NOJ 100133

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ 129851 591 Tracking effenders, 1987, NCJ 125315, 10/90 Criminal cases in five States, 1983-88, NCJ 118798, 9/89

matternal crime rates, NCJ 113776 5 88

Oct. 91, NCJ 131778 10/91 July 91, NCJ 128863 7/91 ok of priminal justice statistics, 1990.

NCJ 130580 9/91 BJS program ap cation kit, histai 1981 NCJ 128413 3/91

at extens in the United States NCJ 127855 3/91

itiomay General's program for improving the Isation's criminal history records and identifying letons who attempt to purchase . NCJ 128131 3/91

Publications of BAS, 1965-89.

Microfiche library, PRO30014 590 \$190
Bibliography, TBO30013 590 \$17.50

Publications of BJS, 1971-84 Microfiche Sbrary, PRO30012 1066 \$203 Bibliography, 78O30012 1086 \$17.50

1990 directory of automated criminal justice information systems, Yel 1, Corrections, 2. Courts: 3, Law enforcement, 4, Probation and perole; 5, Prosecution, NCJ 122226 30 5.490

BAS ennual report, fiscat 1988, NC. 1 15749

Report to the Nation on crime and justice Second adition, NCJ 10550C 6/88 Technical appendix, NCJ 112011 8:88

Criminal justice microcomputer ginde and software datalog, NCAI 112178 8/88 National survey of crime severity, No. 2960 **

See order form on last page

4U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1991 312-318 50023

 Please put me on the mailing list for— Law enforcement reports—national data on State and local police and sheriffs' departments: operations, equipment, personnel, salaries, spending, policies, programs Federal statistics—data describing Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections Drugs and crime data—sentencing and time served by drug offenders, drug use at time of crime by jail inmates and State prisoners, and other quality data on drugs, crime, and law enforcement Justice expenditure and employmen reports—annual spending and staffing by Federal/State/local governments and by function (police, courts, etc.)	processing of Federal white-collar crime cases Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy—new legislation; maintaining and releasing intelligence and investigative records; data quality issues Juvanile corrections reports—juveniles in custody in public and private detention and correctional facilities BJS bulletins and special reports—fimely reports of the most current justice data Prosecution and adjudication in State courts—case processing from prosecution through court disposition, State felony laws, felony sentencing, criminal defense	sample surveys and censuses of jails, prisons, parole, probation, and other corrections data National Crime Survey reports—the only regular national survey of crime victims Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)—broad-based data from 150+ sources (400+ tables, 100+ figures, subject index, annotated bibliography, addresses of sources) Send me a form to sign up for NCJ Reports (free 6 times a year), which abstracts both private and government criminal justice publications and lists upcoming conferences and training sessions in the field.
 To be added to any B IS	Name:	
To be added to any BJS mailing list, please copy	Title:	
or cut out this page, fill	Organization:	
in, fold, stamp, and mail to the Justice Statistics		
Clearinghouse/NCJRS.	Street or box:	
You will receive an annual	City State 7ing	
renewal card. If you do not return it, we must drop you	City, State, Zip: Daytime phone number: ()	
from the mailing list.	Criminal justice interest:	
m a sur a su	•	
To order copies of recent BJS reports, check here	Put your organization	
and circle items you want	and title here if you sed home address above:	
to receive on other side use of this sheet.		
 na pagasaningan kalinasyawan pilinasi inga mpayampagah bagasasanin bingalinasyalin binasiasiana ya		
U.S. Department of Justice		Place
Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics		1 st-clas
Washington, D.C. 20531		here
		

□ White-collar crime—data on the

Please put me on the mailing list for-

Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS U.S. Department of Justice Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850

☐ Corrections reports—results of



National Victims Resource Center

provides vital information

The National Victims Resource Center (NVRC) is a national clearinghouse for victims information funded by the Office for Victims of Crime, U.S. Department of Justice. Like the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, sponsored by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), the NVRC is one of several information resources maintained by the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS). When you contact the NVRC, information specialists will provide you with access to the following resources:

- More than 7,000 victim-related books and articles covering child physical and sexual abuse, victims services, domestic violence, victim-witness programs, and violent crime included in the NCJRS data base.
- National victimization.
- Federally sponsored victim-related research studies.
- Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of people to contact for information and assistance.
- Information on State victims compensation programs funded by the Office for Victims of Crime.

Learn About Victims Issues and **Programs**

From the clearinghouse you can get free publications, borrow hard-to-find publications, and buy selected videotapes. The NVRC information specialists can also conduct data base searches designed especially for your needs.

The NVRC is the single most comprehensive source of victim information: it can refer victims to programs that help soften the blow, ease their recovery from trauma, and educate them about the aftermath of crime.

OVC, NVRC information is now available on the NCJRS Electronic Bulletin Board. Call for additional details.

For your convenience, we now offer a toll-free telephone number.

If we can be of assistance, call us at

(800) 627-NVRC (6872) or (301) 251-5525 or 251-5519,

or write

National Victims Resource Center Box 6000-AJE Rockville, MD 20850